Appln No. 10/666,205

Amdt date September 24, 2007

Reply to Office action of March 22, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 45-50 are pending in the application. To expedite prosecution, Applicants have

cancelled claims 46-48 and 50 without prejudice, and amended claims 45 and 49 as indicated

above. No new matter has been added.

In view of the amendments, the rejection of claim 47 under 35 USC § 112, first

paragraph, is moot. Claims 45 and 49 stand rejected under 35 USC $\S~102$ as purportedly being

anticipated by Stampfer et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and request

reconsideration.

Claim 45 now recites:

"An isolated polypeptide having alcohol dehydrogenase activity,

comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No. 48, or a

variant of said sequence having up to about 5% of the amino acids

in the sequence of SEQ ID No. 48 replaced by different amino

acids."

The reference cited by the Examiner does not, on its face, reveal the exact same isolated

polypeptide recited in claims 45 and 49, as it does not disclose an amino acid sequence of the

alcohol dehydrogenase. In addition, variant isolated polypeptides having alcohol dehydrogenase

activity, not identical to the amino sequence of SEQ ID No. 48, but up to about 5% homologous

-3-

Appln No. 10/666,205 Amdt date September 24, 2007 Reply to Office action of March 22, 2007

thereto, are simply not taught by the prior art. Therefore, a § 102 rejection is improper.

Applicants respectfully request a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

John D. Carpenter Reg. No. 34,133 626/795-9900

JDC/mmm

MMM PAS756977.1-*-09/24/07 6:03 PM